OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES Implementing Agency/County PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

GRA	NT NUMBER	GRA	NT AWARD PERIOD	GRANT AWARD AMOUNT		
V	B08060330		7/1/08 - 6/30/08	\$666,606		
PROGRAM N	NAME:	Vertical	Prosecution Block Grant			
PROJECT TITLE: Career Criminal/Child Abuse						
		1				
(1) ADMINI	STRATIVE AGENCY:	County	of Riverside			
(A) 11 (D) E1		D:	2.000			
(2) IMPLEM	IENTING AGENCY:	District	Attorney's Office			
(3) PROJEC	T DIRECTOR:	Dod Dog	checo, District Attorney			
(3) TROJEC	I DIRECTOR.	Kou Fac	neco, District Attorney			
	Address:	4075 Main Street, Riverside, CA 92501				
	ridaress.	1075 Wall Street, Welside, CH 72501				
	Phone:	(951) 955-5469				
DATE OF VIS	SIT: 11/20/08	Visit Co	Visit Conducted By: Leona LaRochelle			
	PERSON(S) INTERVIE	WED/CO	ONTACTED DURING TI	HE VISITATION		
Date	Name		Title	Telephone/E-Mail Address		
11/20/08	Leah Weaver		Senior Accountant	(951) 955-5495;		
				Lrweaver@RivCoDA.org		
11/20/08	Eric Woolery		Principal Accountant	(951) 955-8804;		
11/20/00			a	EricWoolery@RivCoDA.org		
11/20/08	Kevin J. Ruddy		Chief Deputy District	(951) 955-9856;		
11/00/00	F. 6		Attorney (CCVB)	kruddy@RivCoDA.org		
11/20/08	Eugene Carson		CCVB Attorney	(951) 955-9856		

Signature of OES Representative Conducting the Visit	Date	
Signature of Section Chief	Date	

CCVB Attorney

(951) 955-9856

11/20/08

Harold Anderson

I.

PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW A. General YES 1. Does the project being visited fit within one of the Following categories? (check only one) [✓] 2nd Year; 3rd Year: Over four years; (Please specify) __13_____ years. **Operational Documentation** Does the project have current versions of the: Recipient Handbook a. b. Grant Award Agreement Goals, Objectives, and Project Activities (Review the project's responses to the goals, objectives, and activities of the Grant Award Agreement) Has there been any significant changes in the way a. the project implements or sustains the objectives, and activities of this program? If yes, has the project discussed the possibility of submitting a grant award modification? Is the project making satisfactory progress toward \mathbf{X} achieving the goals and objectives. If not, please explain. **Progress Reporting** (Review the progress report format, content, and *submission requirements)* Has the project submitted all required reports on \mathbf{X} time? If not, please explain Has the project kept accurate source documentation to support statistical data on the PR?

I.	I. PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW (continued)							
	Α.	Gei	neral (continued)	YES	NO	N/A	
		5.	(Revi	rammatic Source Documentation ew documents maintained by project that esents data reported on PRs)				
			a.	Has the project developed an information retrieval system that provides accurate data? (This system may be automated or manual)	X			
			b.	Does the project use data summary sheets or other concrete documents that validate project performance/direct support?	X			
		6.	(Ass	ject Staff Duties & Responsibilities ure that project staff have made other project available for interviews during the visitation)				
			a.	Have all grant funded project staff positions been filled? If no, please explain.	X			
			b.	Will be hiring a new Supv. DDA soon Are job descriptions "project specific", rather than a copy of the "county, local agency job classification/position description?	X			
			c.	County Classification and project specific Do project staff meet all special skill certifications required?	X			
			d.	Are staff performing duties discussed in the Grant Award Agreement?	X			
			e.	Have project staff assumed duties for more than one OES funded project? If yes, please explain.				
			f.	Are there any programmatic problems that are unique to this project? If yes, please explain.				

II.	ADN	MIN]	ISTR	ATIVE REVIEW				
	A.	Gei 1.	neral Pro	gram Files	YES	NO	N/A	
			a.	Is the project familiar with preparation requirements for the following frequently used OES forms:				
				 OES Form 223, Grant Award Modification OES Form 201, Report of Expenditures and Request for Funds 	XX			
		2.	Per	sonnel Policies				
			a.	Are written personnel policies in place & available to all employees?	X			
			b.	Do these policies discuss work hours, compensation rates, including overtime, and benefits; vacation, sick or other leave allowances, hiring and promotional policies?	X			
	B.	Fin	ancia	l Requirements				
		1.	Fun	ctional Time Sheets				
			a.	Does the project use the OES Functional Time Sheet for all project positions employed less-than fulltime? They use their own timesheet with specific Grant		X		
			b.	codes assigned Are functional time sheets completed correctly? Grant Codes assigned to hourly work	X			
		2.	Dut	ies of the Financial Officer				
			a.	Has the project taken steps to assure that the duties of the financial officer are separate from that of the project director? (Separation of duties) They are in two separate buildings. Duties do not intermingle, Project Director oversees Operational duties and Financial Officer oversees Financial	X			

4

II. ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued)

В.	Fin	Financial Requirements (continued) YES NO N/A										
		b.	Do the financial officer and project director interact successfully on project expenditure decisions?	X								
	3.	Pro	ogram Match Requirements (Check this block if N/A) >>			X						
		a.	This project has the following match requirement:									
			Cash match In kind match A combination of the above, approved by OES			X X X						
		b.	Has the project provided documentation that verifies the use of local funds to satisfy match requirements?			X						
C.	Fin	anci	al Source Documentation									
	1.		es the project maintain updated budget pages on all proved grant award modifications?	X								
	2.		es the project maintain Confidential Funds? o, are protective safeguards and policies in place?			X						
	3.	_	project income is acquired, is it tracked and reported? no, please explain			X						
	4.	Ha	s the project submitted Reports of Expenditures on time?	X								
	5.	rep The	e there other issues concerning project expenditures and orting? If so, please explain. ey could use more money, if OES needs to redistribute wone else's short fall, They are willing to use it		X							

II. ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued) D. **Equipment** YES NO N/A Acquisition Are equipment purchases authorized budget items? X a. Was equipment purchased in accordance with the b. Grant Award Agreement? Does the project maintain inventory control logs of X equipment purchased with grant funds? Ε. **State/Federal Administrative Requirements Mandated State and Federal Programs** (Determine whether or not the following documents are posted at the site visited) A current Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Policy Statement? A current "Harassment or Discrimination in Employment is Prohibited by Law" poster? A current Drug-Free Workplace Policy statement? X

III. PROGRAMMATIC, ADMINISTRATIVE, AND FINANCIAL DISCUSSIONS

(Provide a summary of observations, findings, and recommendations made during the visit)

On November 20th, 2008, I, Leona LaRochelle, Criminal Justice Specialist, of the Office of Emergency Services (OES), Crime Suppression Section, conducted a Performance Site Visit at the Riverside District Attorney's Office located at 4075 Main Street, Riverside, CA In attendance were: Leah Weaver, Senior Accountant, and Routine Fiscal person; Eric Woolery, CPA, Principal Accountant, and Financial Officer; Kevin Ruddy, Chief Deputy District Attorney of the Career Criminal component; Eugene Carson, Career Criminal Vertical Persecution (CCVP) Attorney; and Harold Anderson, CCVP Attorney.

I arrived at the site at 2:00pm, and met with Leah Weaver and Eric Woolery at the Administration Building. We went over the financial records and documentation for the Vertical Prosecution Block Grant (VB). The Riverside County District Attorney's Office has run this program for at least 10 years if not more. Mr. Woolery is the Financial Officer who oversees the budget pages and ensures that the line-items of the approved VB Grant Award are adhered to. They are an OES Grant recipient who has been able to use the entire amount of funds obligated to them, leaving a zero balance, and having no funds revert back to the State General fund in the Fiscal Year of 2007. Mr. Woolery's statement has been backed by the OES LEVS Budget Summary Report, which reflects a zero balance in their account, to date. Mr. Woolery stated that if there was any money someone else was not able to use, he would be willing and able to use the extra funds. He said the VB Grant has run smoothly for as long as he can remember, with no significant problems to speak of. I reviewed the VB Grant budget details in the binders that Mr. Woolery supplied, and found them to be in good order.

Leah and I walked over to the District Attorney's building, where we met with Kevin J. Ruddy, Chief Deputy District Attorney for the Career Criminal Prosecution. He provided us an overview of the program and how it was run. He gave me copies for my report, of some source documentation including: the Riverside County District Attorney Western Division Organization Chart, which outlined where the Vertical Prosecution Career Criminal project was located under him; a copy of a timesheet, showing how the time was tracked by codes; a detailed chart of positions and how time was utilized under the Career Criminal and the Child Abuse Vertical Prosecution; and the Standard Case List from the two full time Attorneys assigned under the Career Criminal component.

Mr. Ruddy introduced me to the two, full time Attorneys for the Career Criminal Unit (CC); Eugene Carson and Harold Anderson. Each gave an overview of the types of cases frequently seen under the career criminal section. Eugene spoke about the frequency of parking lot crimes, and Harold, the criminal attacks to women at the front door of their apartment complex. Unfortunately, women are most of the time, the victims in these types of crimes, they both said. Both Eugene and Harold work closely with investigators of law enforcement agencies to ensure repeat offenders qualifying for the CC Unit are aggressively prosecuted and receive maximum penalties. The benefit of the CC Unit is that experienced prosecutors will vertically handle the cases, and not plea bargain any case; connecting crimes to the same perpetrator and increasing sentences for repeat offenders.

The Chief Deputy District Attorney, Linda Dunn, who oversees the Family Protection Division where the Child Abuse Vertical Prosecution lies, was not available for interview during this time, due to scheduling conflicts.

I found the project to be in good order, no visible problems were observed.